DTIC
ELECTE
AUG 18 1993
S C D

AD-A268 432

AD_____

MIPR NO: 92MM2559

TITLE: WORK OF BREATHING AS A PREDICTOR OF FAILURE TO WEAN FROM MECHANICAL VENTILATION IN PATIENTS WITH SEVERE CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Jack DePriest

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Pulmonary and Critical Care Service
Fitzsimons Army Medical Center
Aurora, Colorado 80045-5001

REPORT DATE: May 15, 1993

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Report

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command, Fort Detrick
Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

93 8 17 048

93-19152

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

15 May 1993 Annual Report (5/1/92 - 4/30/93)

Work of Breathing as a Predictor of Failure to Wean From Mechanical Ventilation in Patients with Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

MIPR No. 92MM2559

63002A
30263002D840.BP.098
WUDA336086

Jack DePriest

Pulmonary and Critical Care Services
Fitzsimons Army Medical Center
Aurora, Colorado 80045-5001

U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Command
Fort Detrick
Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Due to delays in obtaining necessary and low patient census, only 2 patients were entered into the study and only one study was completed. Patient recruitment continues.

Mechanical Ventilation; Weaning; COPD; Lung; RAII

Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified Unlimited

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

_____Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

_____Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

_____Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

_____In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and USe of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

__N/A__For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) have adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45CFR46.

_____In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

_____ 5/1/93
Principal Investigator's Signature Date

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

Accesion For
NTIS CRA&I ☑
DTIC TAB ☐
Unannounced ☐
Justification
By
Distribution /
Availability Codes
Dist | Avail and / or Special
A-1

INTRODUCTION

For the vast majority of patients who require mechanical ventilation, withdrawal of ventilatory support and extubation is straightforward and easily accomplished. However, those patients who have required prolonged ventilatory support and especially those with severe baseline pulmonary disease can present a particular problem. It is not always clinically evident when the patient is ready to be removed from ventilatory support. Extubation before the patient is prepared to support his own ventilatory demands can result in respiratory failure, and the requirement for reintubation and placement back on the ventilator. Reintubation, especially under urgent circumstances, is not without risks, such as aspiration and hemodynamic instability. The fatigue and stress that the patient develops while experiencing respiratory failure can also set back his clinical course significantly. Whereas a few more days of exercise prior to the initial extubation might have ensured success, the patient might now require days to weeks to again be ready for extubation. Conversely, the conservative approach might prolong the weaning process longer than is required, delay extubation and increase the chance for nosocomial complications, such as pneumonia.

Because of these limitations, physiologic parameters were developed to assist in determining the adequacy of the patient's cardiorespiratory status. These parameters can easily be performed at the bedside and have well defined ranges. While these parameters are useful in short term ventilator patients, in the long term ventilatory support patient population they have a well documented poor specificity. Patients can meet these criteria and still fail weaning. Thus the question arises as to whether there are other indices that could be used to predict the patient's readiness to support spontaneous ventilation. Can the amount of work the patient must perform to breathe be measured and used to predict how the patient will do once removed from the ventilator? If so, this could be invaluable in shortening the time a patient is on mechanical ventilation, in the ICU, and may potentially decrease mortality. The objective of the study will be to prospectively determine whether measuring the work of breathing by metabolic cart in patients with severe COPD can be useful in predicting their ability to sustain spontaneous respirations.

The utility of measuring the patient's work of breathing has been examined with promising results. It has been shown that long term ventilator patients can have abnormally high work of breathing requirements even with adequate bedside parameters[1,3]. As the patient improves and begins weaning the work of breathing returns toward normal[1]. Other studies have tried to use work of breathing measurements to predict which patients are ready to begin weaning[2,4]. While these studies did suggest that certain cutoffs could be demonstrated to predict readiness to wean, they

were all done on general patient populations, and did not identify patients with significant baseline pulmonary disease. While the values obtained in these patients might extrapolate to other patients without significant pulmonary disease, the values may not be applicable to patients with severe COPD. At baseline, these patients can have a much higher work of breathing than the normal population. One study suggested that the baseline work of breathing in these patients can be greater than 10% of their total oxygen consumption, in contrast to less than 5% in patients without pulmonary disease5. Thus the cutoff values for work of breathing generated in the previous studies might be invalid for patients with severe COPD. This study will be the first to look exclusively at this patient population and try to identify whether using the patient's work of breathing can be used to predict the ability of the patient to sustain spontaneous respiration.

BODY

The study will be prospective. Patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) requiring mechanical ventilation for more than 24 hours will be studied. Once the primary team has decided that the patient is ready for extubation, he is entered in the study. Just prior to extubation the patient will have his work of breathing measured by the metabolic cart. He is then extubated as planned. No evaluation prior to study is required and no medications will be used. The patient will then be followed to see if he tolerates extubation or develops respiratory failure, requiring reintubation. Those two groups, patients who tolerate extubation >24 hours and those who require reintubation within 24 hours will be analyzed individually. The mean work of breathing values for the two populations will be be compared to see if there is a significant difference between the two.

CONCLUSION

There is presently inadequate data to answer the posed questions.

Bibliography

1) Fiastro JF, Habib MP, Shon BY, Campbell SC. Comparison of 8standard weaning parameters and the mechanical work of breathing in mechanically ventilated patients. Chest 1988;94:232-8.

2) Lewis WD, Chwals W, Benotti PN, et al. Bedside assessment of the work of breathing. Crit Care Med 1988;16:117-22.

3) Morganroth ML, Morganroth JL, Nett LM, Petty TL. Criteria for weaning from prolonged mechanical ventilation. Arch Intern Med 1984;144:10126.

4) Shikora SA, Bistrian BR, Borlase BC, et al. Work of breathing: Reliable predictor of weaning and extubation. Crit Care Med 1990;18:157-62.

5) Annat GJ, Viale JP, Dereymez CP, et al. Oxygen cost of breathing and diaphragmatic pressure-time index. Chest 1990;98:411-4.